

## Exercise 3 Attractor Dynamics for vehicle motion

Read the paper by Bicho, Mallet, Schöner (2008): Using Attractor Dynamics to Control Autonomous Vehicle Motion. In: *Proceedings of the 24th Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society (IECON98)*, p. 1176-1182, Aachen, Germany (reprint available on web page). This covers much of the contents of lecture 3.

### 1 Obstacle dynamics Eqs. 1, 2, 3 of the paper

You have analyzed Eq. (1) in last week's exercise. Now we'll focus on how the terms depend on sensory information in the "sub-symbolic" approach.

1. Make a plot of Eq. (2):  $\lambda(d)$ , where  $d$  is the distance measured by a sensor. Explain the geometrical meaning of the two parameters,  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  and mark the plot to highlight that meaning.
2. Give a geometrical justification for Eq. (3). [Hint: Draw the vehicle, its detection range,  $\Delta\Theta$ , an obstacle that covers the entire detection range at the measured distance,  $d$ , and the robot at that distance. Interpret the  $R/(R+d)$  as the tangent of an angle ( $R$  short for  $R_{\text{robot}}$ ). ]
3. Plot Eq. (3) numerically by giving reasonable values to the parameters (e.g.  $\Delta\Theta = 60$  deg,  $R = 0.2$  meters, and  $d \geq R$ ).
4. Plot two force-lets of Eq. (1) that are separated by  $1.25 * \Delta\Theta$  into the same plot together with their sums. Make that plot for a short distance (e.g.  $d = 2R$ ) and a large distance (e.g.  $d = 8R$ ). Interpret the difference you see between these two cases.

### 2 Invariance

The robot is faced with single obstacle at distance  $d = 5R$ . Assume the obstacle's size is such that it covers exactly the angular range,  $\Delta\theta = 60$  deg of the distance sensors. Imagine the obstacle being moved around the robot on a circle (constant distance from the robot). For any given sensor, it may lie outside the angular detection cone for some positions of the obstacle, and inside the cone for other positions.

1. Make a bird's eyes view of the situation and introduce appropriate mathematical notation so that you mathematically characterize when the obstacle falls into a particular sensor's cone of detection. [You may need to refine your notation as you do the next steps of this task.]
2. Remind yourself what the repulsion force-let looks like when the object is in the cone of detection of an individual sensor and when it is outside (Refer to the exercise above). Use your notation to designate the heading direction from which the force-let repels. Nor simplify the model by only taking the linear part into account. What is the heading direction from which two neighboring force-lets repel when the obstacle falls into the cones of detection of the two neighboring sensors?
3. Make a plot of the direction of repulsion as a function of the angle at which the obstacle is located as it circles around the robot. [This will make reference to the angles in which the individual sensors point... develop the appropriate notation.] Compare that direction of repulsion to the true direction in which the obstacle lies.
4. Now think about the same setting, but now the obstacle stays put and the vehicle rotates on the spot. Introduce notation to characterize this rotation. Make a plot of the direction of repulsion as a function of the orientation of the vehicle. Interpret this plot in light of the issue of "invariance" mentioned in the lecture.

### 3 Puzzler

Does the obstacle force-let (Equ (1)) of the front sensor contribute to obstacle avoidance?