

COMPUTER VISION: DEEP LEARNING LAB COURSE DAY 2 – FEATURE-BASED IMAGE CLASSIFICATION

SEBASTIAN HOUBEN

Schedule

Today

- Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG)
- Dimensionality Reduction with Principal Component Analysis (PCA)
- Going Deeper into Classification
 - Underfitting / Overfitting
 - Training-Test-Validation
- Support Vector Machine (SVM)
- Multi-Class SVM





Classification pipeline









Classification pipeline (Multi-class)





Dalal & Triggs 2005

5

- Initially used for pedestrian detection
- Describes local gradient orientation distribution





- Dalal & Triggs 2005
- Initially used for pedestrian detection
- Describes local gradient orientation distribution
- Compute gradients
 - Convolute image with

 $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Yields pixel-wise orientation







- Dalal & Triggs 2005
- Initially used for pedestrian detection
- Describes local gradient orientation distribution
- Compute gradients
 - Convolute image with

 $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

- Yields pixel-wise orientation
- Divide image into cells (e.g., 8x8 pixels)





- Dalal & Triggs 2005
- Initially used for pedestrian detection
- Describes local gradient orientation distribution
- Compute gradients
 - Convolute image with

 $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

- Yields pixel-wise orientation
- Divide image into cells (e.g., 8x8 pixels)
- Compute a histogram of all orientations present in each cell
 - Weigh the contribution of each pixel with its absolute gradient magnitude





- Dalal & Triggs 2005
- Initially used for pedestrian detection
- Describes local gradient orientation distribution
- Compute gradients
 - Convolute image with $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$
 - Yields pixel-wise orientation
- Divide image into cells (e.g., 8x8 pixels)
- Compute a histogram of all orientations present in each cell
 - Weigh the contribution of each pixel with its absolute gradient magnitude
- Combine neighbouring cells to blocks (e.g. 2x2 cells) and normalize histograms with respect to sum of all pixel gradients magnitudes





- Dalal & Triggs 2005
- Initially used for pedestrian detection
- Describes local gradient orientation distribution
- Compute gradients



- Yields pixel-wise orientation
- Divide image into cells (e.g., 8x8 pixels)
- Compute a histogram of all orientations present in each cell
 - Weigh the contribution of each pixel with its absolute gradient magnitude
- Combine neighbouring cells to blocks (e.g. 2x2 cells) and normalize histograms with respect to sum of all pixel gradients magnitudes
- For all blocks for all cells concatenate the histograms











- High-dimensional vectors are hard to interpret
- Visualizing in 2d or 3d is preferable
- Dimensionality reduction / embedding
- Several methods:
 - PCA (Principal Component Analysis)
 - t-SNE (t-distributed Stochastic Nearest-Neighbour Embedding)
 - LLE (Locally-Linear Embedding)
 - MDS (Multi-Dimensional Scaling)



RUHR

INIVERSITAT







- Find function that maps data points to 2 dimensions: $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^2$
- Make it easy: Linear
 - Thus, can be represented by a 2 x n matrix

$$f(x) = Lx = \begin{pmatrix} l_1 \\ l_2 \end{pmatrix} x$$

- But linear means 0 is mapped to 0
 - Subtract mean value from dataset beforehand
- Consists of two rows
- Rows represent the axes of main variance (principal axes)







 Rows represent the axes of main variance (principal axes)

$$\min_{l_1, ||l_1||^2 = 1} \sum_i (x_i^T l_1)^2$$

 Row vector maximizing this, is given by eigenvector of

$$C = \sum_{i} x_i x_i^T$$

w.r.t. largest eigenvalue (covariance matrix C)

 Generally: Take the eigenvectors corresponding to the largest eigenvalues of the covariance matrix and project the zero-mean dataset to these vectors



$$\min_{l_{1},||l_{1}||^{2}=1} \sum_{i} (x_{i}^{T}l_{1})^{2}$$

$$= \min_{l_{1},||l_{1}||^{2}=1} \sum_{i} l_{1}^{T} x_{i} x_{i}^{T} l_{1}$$

$$= \min_{l_{1}} \max_{\lambda \geq 0} \sum_{i} l_{1}^{T} x_{i} x_{i}^{T} l_{1} + \lambda(||l_{1}||^{2} - 1)$$

$$\min_{\lambda \geq 0} 2 \sum_{i} x_{i} x_{i}^{T} l_{1} + 2\lambda l_{1} = 0$$

$$\min_{\lambda \geq 0} \left(\sum_{i} x_{i} x_{i}^{T}\right) l_{1} = \lambda l_{1}$$

$$y^{T}Ay = y^{T} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j}^{j} A_{1j}y_{j} \\ \dots \\ \sum_{j}^{j} A_{nj}y_{j} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \sum_{i} \sum_{j}^{j} A_{ij}y_{i}y_{j}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}} \sum_{ij}^{j} A_{ij}y_{i}y_{j}$$
$$= \sum_{i \neq k}^{j} A_{ik}y_{i} + \sum_{i \neq k}^{j} A_{ki}y_{i} + 2A_{kk}y_{i}$$
$$= \sum_{i}^{j} 2A_{ik}y_{i} = 2Ay$$



UNIVERSITÄT BOCHUM



- Linear classifier finds hyperplane to separate sets of points
- A more complex classifier might find a better way to seperate the two datasets





- Linear classifier finds hyperplane to separate sets of points
- A more complex classifier might find a better way to seperate the two datasets
- Many ML methods have hyper-parameters that control the complexity of the function to fit
- But: In general, very complex functions tend to perform <u>worse on unseen data</u>





- Linear classifier finds hyperplane to separate sets of points
- A more complex classifier might find a better way to seperate the two datasets
- Many ML methods have hyper-parameters that control the complexity of the function to fit
- But: In general, very complex functions tend to perform <u>worse on unseen data</u>



















- Linear classifier finds hyperplane to seperate sets of points
- A more complex classifier might find a better way to seperate the two datasets
- Many ML methods have hyper-parameters that control the complexity of the function to fit
- But: In general, very complex functions tend to perform <u>worse on unseen data</u>
- Need to estimate the training error: split dataset into <u>training-validation-test</u>







- Labelled Data: $(x_i, y_i), x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n, y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$
- Solve:

 $\min_{w,b} w^T w$ s.t. $y_i \cdot (w^T x_i + b) \ge 1$





- Labelled Data: $(x_i, y_i), x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n, y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$
- Solve:

$$\min_{\substack{w,b}} w^T w + C \sum_i \xi_i$$

s.t. $y_i \cdot (w^T x_i + b) \ge 1 - \xi_i$
 $\xi_i \ge 0$





- Labelled Data: $(x_i, y_i), x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n, y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$
- Solve:

 $\min_{w,b} w^T w + C \sum_i \xi_i$ s.t. $y_i \cdot \left(w^T \phi_{\gamma}(x_i) + b \right) \ge 1 - \xi_i$ $\xi_i \ge 0$

- C, γ are a hyper-parameter that control complexity
- Multiclass: One-vs-All
 - most confident classifier wins
 - Confidence ist given by distance to border
- Multiclass: One-vs-One



RUHR

NIVERSITÄT

QUESTIONS? EXERCISES.