Timing and coordination

Gregor Schöner

so far...

... we have studied the generation of movement in vehicles...

- through a "behavioral dynamics" that is in closed loop with the environment
- as it takes (possibly time varying) constraints from the perceived environment
- and expresses these as contributions to the dynamics...
- whose attractor solutions then generate movement plans...

.. now we will look at

- how movements can be generated in open loop, that is, from from an internal "neural" dynamics
- this serves primarily to generate movements that are "timed", that is,
 - they arrive "on time"
 - the are coordinated across different effectors
 - the are coordinated with moving objects (e.g., catching)
- timing implies some form of anticipation...

How is timing done in conventional robotics?

- classical fixed control: fixed templates of timing encoded in digital computers... determined from trajectory planning algorithms that a purely kinematic, and are realized by servo-controllers that "track" the time plan
- advanced control: the planning takes the physical dynamics into account (e.g. optimizing a cost function)

Timing in autonomous robotics

Koditschek's juggling robot:

- physical dynamics of bouncing ball modeled... actuator inserts a term into that dynamics so that a periodic solution (limit cycle) results
- ball is kept within reach by conventional P control from contact to contact



Timing in autonomous robotics

Raibert's hopping robots

- dynamics bouncing robot modeled... actuator inserts a term into that dynamics so that a periodic solution (limit cycle) results
 - robot is kept upright by controlling leg angle to achieve particular horizontal position for Center of Mass



How is timing done in conventional robotics?

Raibert's bio-dog

expand that idea by coordination among limbs <u>https://</u> www.youtube.co <u>m/watch?</u> v=M8YjvHYbZ9w



Relative vs. absolute timing



Absolute timing

- examples: music, prediction, estimating time
- typical task: tapping
- self-paced vs. externally paced

human performance

on absolute timing is impressive

smaller variance than 5% of cycle time in continuation paradigm



[Wing, 1980]

Theoretical account for absolute timing

- (neural) oscillator autonomously generates timing signal, from which timing events emerge
- => limit cycle oscillators
- Clocks=limit cycle oscillators

Limit cycle oscillator: Hopf



Neural oscillator

relaxation oscillator

$$\tau \dot{u} = -u + h_u + w_{uu} f(u) - w_{uv} f(v)$$

$$\tau \dot{v} = -v + h_v + w_{vu} f(u),$$





Neural oscillator accounts for variance of absolute timing



[Schöner 2002]

Clocks

- hour glasses are also oscillators
- but: it is critical to include the "resetting"



Reduced timing variance for bimanual movement

- observed by lvry and colleagues
- accounted for by averaging of two times
- but: requires coupling



Relative timing: movement coordination

Iocomotion, interlimb and intralimb

speaking

mastication

music production

Image: approximately rhythmic

Examples of coordination of temporally discrete acts:

reaching and grasping

- bimanual manipulation
- coordination among fingers during grasp
- catching, intercepting

Definition of coordination

- Coordination is the maintenance of stable timing relationships between components of voluntary movement.
- Operationalization: recovery of coordination after perturbations
- Example: speech articulatory work (Gracco, Abbs, 84; Kelso et al, 84)
- Example: action-perception patterns

Is movement always timed/ coordinated?

- No, for example:
- Iocomotion: whole body displacement in the plane
 - in the presence of obstacles takes longer
 - delay does not lead to compensatory acceleration
- but coordination is pervasive...

e.g., coordinating grasp with reach

Relative vs. absolute timing



Two basic patterns of coordination



synchronization, moving through like phases simultaneously

e.g., gallop (approximately)

anti-phase or phase alternation

syncopation

e.g., trott

An instability in rhythmic movement coordination

switch from anti-phase to in-phase as rhythm gets faster



Kelso, 1984

Instability

experiment involves finger movement

why fingers?

no mechanical coupling

- constraint of maximal frequency irrelevant
- => pure neurally based coordination



Schöner, Kelso (Science, 1988)

Instability

- frequency imposed by metronomes and varied in steps
- either start out in-phase or antiphase



data example (Scholz, 1990)

FLEX .800 .400-NORMALIZED VELOCITY \Φ, .000 -.400 -.800 -EXT .800 .000 .400 -.400 -.800 FLEX NORMALIZED POSITION EXT RIGHT HAND в FLEX .800 .400 NORMALIZED VELOCITY Φ_R .000 --.400 --.800 --EXT .800 -.800 .400 .000 -.400

computation of continuous relative phase (Scholz, 1990)

Pattern stability

- instability: anti-phase pattern no longer persists
- thus: even though mean pattern is unchanged up to transition, its stability is lost
- stability is an important property of coordination patterns, that is not captured by the mean performance alone

Measures of stability

variance: fluctuations in time are an index of degree of stability

stochastic perturbations drive system away from the coordinated movement

the less resistance to such perturbations, the larger the variance

Measures of stability

relaxation time

time need to recover from an outside perturbation

e.g., mechanically perturb one of the limbs, so that relative phase moves away from the mean value, then look how long it takes to go back to the mean pattern

the less stable, the longer relaxation time

data example perturbation of fingers and relative phase



Scholz, Kelso, Schöner, 1987

Signatures of instability





relaxation times, individual data



data (averaged across subjects)

Schöner, Kelso (Science, 1988)

Neuronal process for coordination

- each component is driven by a neuronal oscillator
- their excitatory coupling leads to inphase
- their inhibitory coupling leads to anti-phase

Coordination from coupling

coordination=stable relative timing emerges from coupling of neural oscillators



$$\begin{aligned} \tau \dot{u}_1 &= -u_1 + h_u + w_{uu} f(u_1) - w_{uv} f(v_1) \\ \tau \dot{v}_1 &= -v_1 + h_v + w_{vu} f(u_1) + c f(u_2) \\ \tau \dot{u}_2 &= -u_2 + h_u + w_{uu} f(u_2) - w_{uv} f(v_2) \\ \tau \dot{v}_2 &= -v_2 + h_v + w_{vu} f(u_2) + c f(u_1) \end{aligned}$$

[Schöner: Timing, Clocks, and Dynamical Systems. Brain and Cognition 48:31-51 (2002)]

Movement timing

marginal stability of phase enables stabilizing relative timing while keeping trajectory unaffected



[Schöner: Timing, Clocks, and Dynamical Systems. Brain and Cognition 48:31-51 (2002)]

- coordination patterns are stable states
- stability may vary and may be lost
- instability leads to pattern change



fixed point, which is stable (attractor)

at low frequencie s this system is bistable



↑ rate of change of relative phase

at increasing frequency stability of anti-phase is lost



Predicts increase in variance



Predicts increase in relaxation time



Conclusion

- to understand coordination patterns, we need to understand the underlying coordination dynamics
- stabilization mechanisms
- and their strength
- from which the mean pattern emerges

What level does the instability of coordination come from?

- from peripheral motor control?
- from central motor control?
- from perceptual representations of movement?

What level does instability come from?

Is the instability tied to the motor system?



Mechsner, Kerzel, Knoblich, Prinz, Nature 2001



Mechsner, Kerzel, Knoblich, Prinz, Nature 2001

=> coordination in space

rather than in effector space
so coordinated oscillators are central
rather than peripheral

Coordination of discrete movement

- coupling can account for coordination of discrete movement based on the idea that oscillator is "on" (stable) only for a cycle...
- back and forth components of rhythmic movement are driven by different neural populations
 - so even rhythmic movement coordination may exploit this mechanism of discrete movement coordination



[Schöner, Biol Cybern 63:257 (1990)]

1.0

2.0

time [s]

-10

Robotic demonstration: timed movement with online updating







... deeper issue in timing...

contribution of the control level

muscles and biomechanics contribute to timing

contribution of movement planning

on line updating

arriving "just in time"

contribution of movement organization

timed movement sequences

modulating timing in rhythms

coarticulation