

Dynamic Field Theory

Part I: continuous spaces and activation fields

Gregor Schöner
gregor.schoener@ini.rub.de

Discrete “neurons”

- or activation variables: how do they arise?
How do they sample sensory/motor spaces...
- no evidence that neural discreteness matters
for behavior

Continuity in space

- hypothesis: behavior is embedded in continua
 - the space of possible behaviors, e.g. space of movements, percepts, timing structures
 - neuronal substrate is continuous (maps, broad tuning)
- ($\Rightarrow$ need to understand how categorical behavior may emerge from such continua)

Dynamical Field Theory: space

- in DFT, continuous spaces are dimension over which activation fields are defined
- homologous to sensory surfaces, e.g., visual or auditory space (retinal, allocentric, ...)
- homologous to motor surfaces, e.g., saccadic end-points or direction of movement of the end-effector in outer space
- feature spaces, e.g., localized visual orientations, color, impedance, ...
- abstract spaces, e.g., ordinal space, along which serial order is represented

example: motion perception

- continuous motion
- apparent motion
- motion pattern

example: selection decisions in motion perception

motion pattern

 why not diagonal motion?

 or the other diagonal motion?

 => motion pattern perception involves selection decision

example: selection decisions in motion perception



■ can the alternative motion pattern be realized?

■ flat motion quartet


■ tall motion quartet

■ square motion quartet

space of possible percepts and activation field




space of possible actions and activation field



Dynamical Field Theory: space



- fields: continuous activation variables defined over continuous spaces

information, probability, certainty









e.g., retinal space, movement parameters, feature dimensions, viewing parameters, ...

activation fields



representing different percepts




Link between DFT and neurophysiology

■ What do neurons represent?


tuning curve

■ example: primary visual cortex (monkey)



tuning curve


■ example: primary motor cortex (monkey)




Link between DFT and neurophysiology

- Example 1: Jancke et al: A17 in the cat, population representation of retinal location


- determine RF profile for each cell
- it's center determines what that neuron codes for
- compute a distribution of population activation by superposing RF profiles weighted with **current** neural firing rate



- The **current** response refers to a stimulus experienced by **all** neurons
- Reference condition: localized points of light





elementary stimuli



2.8°

nasal temporal


■ result: population distribution of activation defined over retinal space = representation of visual location



■ => does a decent job estimating retinal position

current stimulus:
square of light

range of retinal field
sampled by neurons



□ 0.4°



- Extrapolate measurement device to new conditions
- e.g., time resolved

two
different
stimulus
locations

time




30 - 40 ms

40 - 50 ms

50 - 60 ms

60 - 70 ms


70 - 80 ms



■ or when complex stimuli are presented (here:
two spots of light)

↓ response to
composite stimuli

increasing distance between the two squares of light



↑ superposition of responses to each
elemental stimulus

□ 0.4°




■ by comparing DPA of composite stimuli to superposition of DPAs of the two elementary stimuli obtain evidence for interaction

■ early excitation


■ late inhibition

interaction




activation level in DPA




at location of left component stimulus




response to
composite stimuli



superposition of
responses to each
elemental stimulus




evidence for
inhibitory
interaction



time



[ms]

model by dynamic field:




Neurophysiological grounding of DFT

example: movement planning





- tuning of cells in motor and premotor cortex to direction of end-effector movement path



Distribution of Population Activation (DPA)



Distribution of population activation =
 $\sum_{\text{neurons}} \text{tuning curve} * \text{current firing rate}$



[Bastian, Riehle, Schöner, 2003]

■ look at temporal evolution of DPA

■ or DPAs in new conditions, here: DPA reflects prior information



Distributions of Population Activation are abstract

- neurons are not **localized** within DPA!
- cortical neurons really are sensitive to many dimensions
 - motor: arm configuration, force direction
 - visual: many feature dimensions such as spatial frequency, orientation, direction...
- => DPA is a **projection** from that high-dimensional space onto a single dimension